HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE G.V.SEETHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION NO.18796 OF 2005

Between:

A.P.High Court Legal Services Committee,
Hyderabad

. . .Petitioner

AND

The Station House Officer,
Amberpet Police Station, Hyderabad,
and others

. . .Respondents

Counsel for the respondent Nos.1&4 : Government Pleader for Home

Counsel for the respondent No.2 : Shri Ghanta Rama Rao

Counsel for the respondent No.3 : Shri Nooty Rama Mohana Rao

Counsel for the respondent No.5&6 : Advocate General

Dated: 26th June, 2006

: ORDER :

PER G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

In this taken up petition filed by A.P. High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, the Court had from time to time issued several orders which facilitated payment of ad hoc compensation of Rs.1,50,000/- to the dependants of the deceased Smt V.Durga Kumari.

On 13.02.2006, the learned Special Government Pleader made a request for adjournment to enable him to place on record the policy framed by the State Government for award of compensation to the victims of the accidents, in which the Government and its instrumentality may have contributed. On the subsequent dates, the learned Special Government Pleader produced copy of policy, which was not acceptable by the Court.

Today, Shri A.Satya Prasad produced before this Court G.O.Ms.No.308 dated 06.06.2006 amending earlier G.O.Ms.No.87 dated 03.03.2006. Copies of the latest G.O.Ms.No.308 have been made available to Shri D.V.Sitharam Murthy and Shri Nooty Rama Mohana Rao.

We have gone through the amendment made in the policy framed by the Government for award of compensation and are satisfied with the same. Consequently, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to Shri D.V.Sitharam Murthy to file miscellaneous petition or otherwise seek revival of this petition, if any such contingency arises in future.

While disposing of the writ petition in the manner indicated

above, we make it clear that the compensation paid to the heirs of late Smt.V.Durga Kumari pursuant to this order, shall not be conclusive of the claim, which they may lodge under any other provision of law.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

G.V.SEETHAPATHY, J

Date: 26.06.2006

kvni